# Governor's Council on Health Care Innovation and Reform

November 16, 2016

9:30 -	1(	0:	<b>15</b>	am
--------	----	----	-----------	----

10:15 - 10:35 am

10:35 - 11:35 am

11:35 - 11:50 am

11:50 – 12:50 pm

12:50-1:05 pm

1:05-1:15 pm

1:15-1:30 pm

Welcome and Introductions

Ground Rules and Discussion

MACRA Update – Edith Stowe, Manatt Health

Break

CPC+ Update – Edith Stowe, Manatt Health

Collaborative Care Codes – Anne Shields, UW

Health Information Exchange Update – Jean Branscum,
 MMA

Other Updates and Public Comment

#### **Delivery Model Principles**

As the Council considers and evaluates delivery models, it should assess the extent to which each model supports a set of core principles:



Patient-centered



Replicable for different conditions



Data-driven and measurable



Scalable



Empowers providers



Sustainable and tied to payment reform




Collaborative



Multi-payer

#### Billings – VBP Penetration and Medicare Cost Growth – 2012 through 2014









Billings VBP growth is nearly identical to what our analysis would predict Billings Medicare Costs are lower than average, but growth is as predicted


# Update on the Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization ACT (MACRA)

**Edith Coakley Stowe, Manatt Health** 

November 16, 2016

#### MACRA - Recap

- In April 2015, Congress enacted MACRA. Just before the law was passed, HHS had announced goals for the spread of Medicare "Alternative Payment Models" (APMs) over time (right).
- MACRA combines SGR repeal with a new framework that ties rate increases to markers of value, while also creating new incentives for providers to participate in APMs.
- ➤ The law goes into effect in January 2017. Final rulemaking was issued on October 14, 2016.
- MACRA is expected to remain in place under the new Administration.





Every year, most\* providers who serve Medicare FFS patients will be reimbursed in one of two possible tracks.

CMS is calling this framework the "Quality Payment Program."



# Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS)

#### **Most providers**

Adjusts all Part B fee-for-service payments up or down based on new reporting program that integrates elements of PQRS, Meaningful Use and Value-Based Modifier.

## "Advanced Alternative Payment Models" (A-APMs)

#### Some providers


Providers who participate in certain APMs that CMS designates **Advanced APMs** will be MIPS-exempt and will receive an annual 5% bonus.

<sup>\*</sup> Certain exceptions apply, including low volume/revenue providers and providers new to Medicare.

In the final rule, CMS expanded exclusions for low-volume Medicare providers.

Clinicians billing Medicare Part B program Those who bring in 1-1.4m clinicians \$30,000 or less per year in Part B allowed charges, and/or have no more than 100 Medicare fee-"Advanced Alternative \$333-571m for-service patients will **Payment Models**" incentive be exempt for 2017. (A-APMs) payments 70 - 120,000 clinicians **Excluded clinicians:** Approx. 670,000 clinicians \$699m total upward MIPS adjustments **Merit-Based Incentive** Payment System (MIPS) **\$199m** total 592 - 642,000 clinicians downward MIPS adjustments

#### **Recap: MACRA Implementation Timeline**



**Value Modifier** 

+/- 4% +/- 7% +/- 5% +/- 9%

MIPS exceptional performance adjustment (\$500m/year fund)

0.25% update


#### **Advanced Alternative Payment Models**


**Advanced APM Participants exempt from** MIPS and receive annual 5% bonus


0.75% update



Each year, each "Eligible Clinician" or group will receive an upward, downward or neutral payment adjustment based on a "MIPS Final Score" reflecting four categories


















## After intense feedback from providers during the summer of 2016, CMS is now casting performance year 2017 as a "transition year"

#### **Pick Your Pace in MIPS**

If you choose the MIPS path of the Quality Payment Program, you have three options.



## Not participating in the Quality Payment Program:

If you don't send in any 2017 data, then you receive a negative 4% payment adjustment.

#### Test:

If you submit a minimum amount of 2017 data to Medicare (for example, one quality measure or one improvement activity for any point in 2017), you can avoid a downward payment adjustment.

#### Partial:

If you submit 90 days of 2017 data to Medicare, you may earn a neutral or small positive payment adjustment.

#### Full:

If you submit a full year of 2017 data to Medicare, you may earn a moderate positive payment adjustment.



manatt

#### **Quality Performance Category (60% in 2017)**



#### The Quality Performance category is equivalent to PQRS, with some changes

- ECs are generally required to report 6 measures, with at least one outcome measure.\*
- Approximately 270 measures from which to choose.
  - Generally same as PQRS measures; 18 new measures
  - Specialty and subspecialty measure sets provided for optional use
- Choice of Reporting Methods:
  - Individuals: qualified registry, EHR, QCDR, claims
  - Groups: qualified registry, EHR, QCDR, CMS Web Interface
- Performance on each measure determined by comparison to deciles of national performance in baseline period.
  - Bonus point opportunities for reporting additional outcome/high priority measures; CAHPS;
     and "end to end electronic reporting."

<sup>\*</sup> If available; otherwise another "high priority" measure (appropriate use, patient safety, efficiency, patient experience, care coordination).



#### **Cost Performance Category (2018+)**



#### The Cost Category builds on the cost component of the Value Modifier program

- Every EC will have an attributed population created by CMS using claims data. CMS will calculate two measures:
  - Total per capita costs for all attributed beneficiaries (Part A & B spending during performance period)
  - 2 Medicare spending per beneficiary during ten distinct episodes \* (Part A & B spending during episode that spans from three days prior to an inpatient hospital admission through 30 days after discharge)
- Like quality category, performance based on historical deciles
- MACRA requires CMS to bring Part D spending into the score, but this integration will likely be delayed by several years



<sup>\*</sup> Examples include: mastectomy; aortic/mitral value surgery; coronary artery bypass graft.

#### Advancing Care Information Performance Category (25% in 2017)



MACRA sunsets the <u>Medicare</u> EHR Incentive Program ("Meaningful Use"), incorporating much of its design into Advancing Care Information (ACI)

- Providers must report on 5 measures:
  - 1. Perform a Security Risk Analysis
  - 2. E-Prescribing
  - Provide Patients Electronic Access to Their Data
  - 4. Send a Summary of Care Record using Health Information Exchange
  - Request/Accept a Summary of Care Record
- Scoring incorporates base score + performance score. Points are available for reporting additional measures, reporting to public health agencies/CDRs, and/or using EHR for practice improvements
- By 2018, all providers will need to have adopted 2015 Edition Certified Electronic Health Record Technology (CEHRT)





IA = "An activity that relevant eligible clinician organizations and other relevant stakeholders identify as improving clinical practice or care delivery, and that the Secretary determines, when effectively executed, is likely to result in improved outcomes"

#### **Improvement Activity Subcategories:**

Expanded Practice Access (4)

Care Coordination (14)

Patient Safety and Practice
Assessment (21)

Emergency
Response and
Preparedness (2)

Population Management (16)

Beneficiary Engagement (23) Achieving Health Equity (5)

Integrated
Behavioral and
Mental Health (8)

Participating in APM



#### **Bonuses**



- PCMH certification earns the maximum score
- Participation in a CMS survey on improvement brings the maximum score
- Participation in an APM achieves 50% of the score
- ECs in rural areas/HPSAs receive preferential scoring




# An EC or group's scores from each category are aggregated into a single MIPS Final Score out of 100




Note: Performance will be reported on the CMS Physician Compare site

Each final score will then be compared against a "threshold CPS" to determine the % payment adjustment. MIPS is budget neutral.



Only a small number of MIPS Eligible Clinicians will receive negative payment adjustments in payment year 2019. The majority of MIPS Eligible Clinicians will receive <a href="mailto:small">small</a> positive adjustments.

CMS estimates
that about 10% of
providers will not
participate and
will therefore
receive negative
payment
adjustments.



CMS estimates that 90% of providers will receive positive payment adjustments. However, these will be insignificant if below the threshold score for "exceptional performance" funding.



#### **Alternative Payment Models**

#### **Description**

- ✓ CMS Innovation Center models (under s. 3021, other than a Health Care Innovation Award)
- ✓ MSSP (Medicare Shared Savings Program)
- ✓ Demonstration under Health Care Quality Demonstration Program
- ✓ Demonstration required by federal law
- ✓ Physician Focused Payment Models (special process)

#### **Examples**

2019 "non-advanced" APMs:

- MSSP Track 1
- Oncology Care Model (1-sided)

#### **Advanced Alternative Payment Models**

#### **Description**

- ✓ Require use of CEHRT
- ✓ Quality requirements "comparable to MIPS"
- ✓ "Financial risk for monetary losses, of a more than nominal amount,"
   OR medical home model expanded under Innovation Center authority


#### 2017 Advanced APMs\*

- MSSP Tracks 2/3
- CPC+
- Compenensive ESRD Care Model (CEC)
- Next Gen ACO
- Oncology Care Model (2 sided)

#### **2018 Advanced APMs**\*

2017 Advanced APMS, plus

- MSSP Track 1+ (new)
- Voluntary Bundled Payment
- CJR
- Cardiac Care
- Vermont Medicare ACO



#### Payment Consequences for APM and A-APM Participation

#### **Participants Alternative Payment Models**


Subject to MIPS, but special "APM scoring standards" apply:

- Cost category is waived
- More weight for IA category, and favorable scoring within that category
- MIPS unit must match the unit participating in the model

#### Participants in Advanced Alternative Payment Models

If participants meet "QP thresholds" (% of revenue through AAPM and/or % of total patient count through AAPM), ECs are designated as "Qualifying Participants" for the performance year in question.

Qualifying Participants are MIPS exempt and receive a 5% bonus based on the previous year's Part B revenues.



Starting in 2019,
participants in
Medicare Advanced
APMs who would
otherwise not meet QP
thresholds will be able
to combine their
participation in
Medicare and nonMedicare Advanced
APMs

MACRA (aka Quality Payment Program) will start in January as scheduled, but CMS has lowered the bar for the first year.

The practical effect of MACRA on payments will ramp up over several years.

#### MIPS:

- MIPS is coming into force on schedule, but with a "transitional" year in 2017 which will shield most providers from negative adjustments initially.
- 2017 is an opportunity to plan strategy for 2018 onwards.
- The low-volume threshold for 2017 has been raised, which may exclude a significant number of Montana providers in the coming year.

#### Advanced APMs:

- The 5% bonus for A-APM participation is a sweetener for entering A-APMs, but does not necessarily mean the participants "win" in the models themselves.
- The Obama administration signaled plans to increase the range of A-APM options for 2018. It is too early to know whether the Trump administration will continue those plans.



### Comprehensive Primary Care Plus (CPC+): Updates for Montana

Edith Coakley Stowe, payer facilitator for Montana CPC+

Manatt Health

November 16, 2016

**CPC+ Recap** 

**Multi-payer Alignment in Montana** 

**Next Steps for Montana CPC+** 

#### **CPC+ Recap**

CPC+ aims to improve health and reduce costs though transformed primary care, supported by multi-payer payment reform, data transparency and aligned quality measurement.

Montana has been selected to participate, starting in January 2017.



5

Years

Beginning January 2017, progress monitored quarterly



2

**Program Tracks** 

Based on practices' readiness for transformation



Up to **2,500**Practices Per Track


Dependent upon interest and eligibility






#### **CPC+ Regions and Payers**

CPC+ Region	# of Payers
AR	6
со	5
HI	1
Greater Kansas City	1
MI	2
MT	3
NJ	3
North Hudson- Capital Region	3
OH & Northern KY	12
ОК	5
OR	15
Greater Philadelphia	2
RI	3
TN	4





# In Montana, DPHHS, Blue Cross/Blue Shield and Pacific Source are participating in CPC+ alongside CMS




Participating payers have signed Memoranda of Understanding, undertaking to:

- Pay practices non-visitbased care management fees and quality payments;
- Share cost and quality information with practices
- Align on quality metrics





#### **Payers Invited to Partner**



#### **Required Payer Alignment**



Enhanced, non-FFS support



Change in cash flow mechanism from fee-for-service to at a least a partial alternative payment methodology for Track 2 practices



Performance-based incentive




Aligned quality and patient experience measures with Medicare FFS and other payers in the region



Practice- and member-level cost and utilization data at regular intervals










#### Track 2 capabilities are inclusive of and build upon Track 1 capabilities







#### Track 2 capabilities are inclusive of and build upon Track 1 capabilities

Requirements for

Track 1

Requirements for

Track 2-

Comprehensiveness and Coordination



Identification of high volume/cost specialists



Improved timeliness of notification and information transfer from EDs and hospitals



Behavioral health integration



Psychosocial needs assessment and inventory of resources and supports to meet psychosocial needs



Collaborative care agreements



Development of practice capability to meet needs of high-risk populations

Patient and Caregiver Engagement



At least annual Patient and Family Advisory Council



Assessment of practice capabilities to support patient self-management



At least biannual Patient and Family Advisory Council



Patient self-management support for at least three highrisk conditions

Planned Care and Population Health



At least quarterly review of payer utilization reports and practice eCQM data to inform improvement strategy



At least weekly care team review of all population health data





#### **Multi-Payer Alignment in Montana**

# Montana payers have begun discussions of how they will align their approaches for success in CPC+



**1.** Shared Vision of Regional Success



**2.** Regional Action Plan



3. CPC+ Payer Learning Agenda



5. Care Delivery



**4.** Alternative Model for Primary Care





**7.** Quality Measure Alignment



**8.** Attribution Methodology & Administrative Alignment



**9.** Multi-Stakeholder Engagement



**10.** Evaluation of Success

Roadmap source: CMS



#### **Next Steps for Montana CPC+**



**November: Practice Selection.** Approximately 70 Montana practices have applied for CPC+. CMS will announce the list of successful practices by about 11/25.



**December: Onboarding and Preparation.** CMS onboarding steps with practices. Payer contract amendments with practices.



January 2017: Kick-off. Montana can expect:

- National and regional learning offerings for CPC+ practices
- Implementation of payment models by CMS and other participating payers
- Implementation and refinement of payer/practice data sharing strategies
- Aligned quality strategies between payers
- "Advanced Alternative Payment Model" MACRA status for CPC+ practices
- Mid-year: opportunity for further payer and practice applications for a 2018 start

# Collaborative Care Codes Anne Shields, University of Washington AIMS Center



# Medicare Benefit for Collaborative Care and Other Integrated Behavioral Health Strategies CMS Final Rule for January 1, 2017

Anne Shields, RN, MHA, Associate Director University of Washington AIMS Center Advancing Integrated Mental Health Solutions





# Four New "Incident to" Codes for Integrated Behavioral Health

**Collaborative Care Model (CoCM)** 

G0502 CoCM: First 70 min / mon

G0503 CoCM: First 60 min subsequent mo

G0504 CoCM: Additional 30 min, any mo

Other Integrated Behavioral Health Services

G0507 Care Management for BH, 20 min





## **CoCM Payment Code Structure**

Each G code bundles payment to primary care for the work of the collaborative care team:

- Primary care provider
- Behavioral health care manager
- Psychiatric consultant (psych ARNP or psychiatrist)

CMS requires use of a registry to track visits and patient outcomes



# Thank you! Questions and Discussion

#### **Health Information Exchange Update**

Jean Branscum, MMA

